## LIV.-A Key for the Ready Identification of the Species of Cephalodiscus. By W. G. Ridewood, D.Sc.

In the Report on the specimens of Cephalodiscus obtained by the 'Terra Nova' on the British Antarctic Expedition of 1910-1913, published in 1918 by the British Museum (Nat. Hist.), there is given a synopsis of the species at present known, and a list of all recorded specimens (pp. 66-77). The particulars therein set forth were derived mainly from an examination of actual specimens, but in the case of five species that were not available for persomal study they were taken from the published descriptions. The list records the latitude and longitude of the locality from which each specimen was obtained, and is supplemented by two maps showing the geographical distribution of the varions species.

It has been pointed out that the synopsis and list would have been of greater service if there had been appended a key or table such as would enable those who have not made a special study of the genus to identify readily the species of any material that might come into their hands. It is with a view to supplying this deficiency that the present key has been drawn up. Seeing that it is only intended as a supplement to the Report, to be used in conjunction with the synopsis, only a few explanatory notes need be given here.

Three subgenera of Cephalodiscus are at present recognized, the first two-Demiothecin and Idiuthecia-being introduced in 1907 in the Report on the Pterobranchia of the National Autarctic Expedition ('Discovery'), and the third -Urthoecus-added by Andersson later in the same year in his report on the Pterobranchia obtained on the Swedish South-Polar Expedition of 1901-1903. The differences between Orthoecus and Idiotliecia are much less prononneed than are those between Idiothecia and Demiotheoia, and on p. 19 of the 'Terra Nova' report are given the reasons for transferring Schopotieff's species, indicus, from the subgenus Idiotheria, in which he placed it, into the sulgenns Orthoecus.

The reasons for regarding Andersson's incequatus as synonymons with hodysomi are published in the report on tho Pterobranchia of the Scottish National Antarctic Expeditiod (1902-1904, 'Scotia'), 1913, pp. 559-563. Cephalodiscus equatus is not easily separated from C. hodgsoni, but the evidence is not sufficiently strong for regarding the two as synonymous-sce 'Terra Nova' Report, pp. 59 and 69. Since the characters that distinguish the species hodgsoni, corqutus, and dodeculophus cannot be expressed in a lew
words, the symopsis itself should he consulted hy those wishime in discriminate between these species. As remards the two diminntive species of the suhirenus Demiothecia, Harmer writes ("Pterobranchia of the "Siboga" Expedition of 18.391900,' Leiten, 1905, 1. 4):-"The possibility is not excheded that $C$. sibogee is the male form of $C$. grucilis."

A study of the large and varied collection of C. densus obtaned by the 'T'erra Nova' leads to the conclusion that what Andersson described as C. rurus is but an carly colony of $C$. densus, with the tubes of the cœomœcimm lax, strageliner, and imegular, instead of closely set and more or less parallel —sce 'Terra Nova' Roport, pp. 39-40.

Gravier's species-C. anderssom-is with difficulty distinguishablo from C. densus; his descrintion of the zooids is incomplete, and the principal feature that distingnishes the ccenocium of his species is the aggregation of the tubes ints clumps or clusters which stand out more or less distinctly from the other clumps-see 'Terra Nova' Report, pp. 40 and 76.

The present key is so drawn up as to bring the species: nigrescens and solidus together. Although belonging in different subgenera, they have many points in common, and I was for some time uncertain whether the cone-shaped colonies obtaned on the Australasian Antarctic Expedition of 1911-1914 were small, short-tubed colonies of $C$. solitus or unbranched colonies of $C$. nigrescens-sce report on the Pterobranchia of the expedition, Sydney, 1918, pp.19-20. 'The arms of well-preserved zooids of C'. nigrescens show a characteristic double black band on the axis, but the bands we lost in badly preserved material. On the other hand, it is not definitely known that the zooids of $C$. solidus do not possess such bands; Andersson does not mention then, and the zooids of one of his specimens that I had an opportunity of studyiner do not show them; the material, however, is nut well greserved, and there are evident signs of the colour of the zooids having become diffused and redneed in intensity.

The key is also arranged so as to bring tugether the two specially arenaccous species agglatimens and ecomsi ; the former has black zooids and the latter white. Althomeh ('. ugglutinans differs from the other species of Idiothecia in the tubes not ending blindly in the middle of the brameh, the character is not readily determined, owing to the transparency and thinness of the tubes and the confinsing effect of the mumerons particles of shott embedded in the coonocial substance.

Tho only species outside the subgenus Demioheciu that hats spises on the cencecinn is $C$. gilcheristi.
'The length of the zooids given m the key is that from the free ends of the arms to the end of the trunk, not including the stalk.

1. Cavities of the cenoecium in the form of tubes. Each tubular space with a single orifice, and occupied by one zooid and its buds. Arms without end-swellings and refractive beads.
A. Conaceium in the form of a branching sy:tem, with the nerrest tubes at the apices of the brauches

## Ithistherin.

a. Internal ends of the tubes communicating by a labyrinthic system.

1. Brauches massive, fragile, with abumdant fragments of shell embedded; each ostium with a short, blunt lip, but no peristomial tube. Zooids 4.5 mm ., blackish ; arus 8 or 9 pairs.
ayghutinum.
b. Internal ends of the tubes blind.
$\because$. Branches massive, fromile, with abundaut firagments of shell embedded; each ostium with a short peristomial tube. Zooids 35 mm., white; arms usually 8 pairs.
erinnsi.
2. liranches fairly long, slender, not fragile, with numerou* long spines, brownish ; ostia with or without peristomial tubes. \%ooids 1.6 to 1.8 mm ., blackish when alive, brown in preseroed materinl, with blackish margin to anterior edge of shield ; arms usually 6 pairs ....
gilchristi.
3. Branclies medimm or slender, orangecoloured, nospines; each ostimon witha simple-lippel peristomial tube. Zooids 2..) mm., whitish; arms 6 pairs ....
4. Hranches massive, rarely slender, groyish or brownish, no suines ; each "stium or hrownsh, no spmes; each "stimm tube. Youids 4.0 to 6.0 mm , blackish; tube. Zovils $4 \cdot 0$ to 6.0 mm , bithish; back bands along the axis..........
levinseni.
5. Corneciam in the form of a hemisphere,
6. Comacium in the form of a hemisplere,
conn, or eake, with the newest tubes ut the calges; basal ends of the tubes blind .... nigrescrnes.

Orthurichs.
6. Colony bulky and massive, tubes long, common icentecial substnme tirm; each ustiun with a simpte thick lip,
Aıu. od May. N. Mist. Sur. 9. Vol. v.
edre of ostium thick. Yooids $4 \cdot 0$ to 5.0 mm , blackish, ladiner to pale brown ; arms nsually \& pars ......
7. Colony bulliy and massive, or small and lax if young (rams), tubes long, common conocial substance soft and spongy ; ostium without a detinite lip, trmasterse or oblique, edere of ostinm thin. Kooids $4 \cdot 0$ to $7 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~mm}$., brownish or ereyish; urms usually 8 pairs....
deusus (includingry[rusand (:) anderssoni).
8. Colony diminutive, orange when fresh, pale in aleohol: ostia without detinite lip. Zooids $2 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}$, pale; arms 3 phirs
II. Cavity of the coenœcciun continuous, and occuindicus. pied in common by the zovids and their buds. C'encecium brancling, with mumerous spines. Ams of zooids commonly with end-swellings beset witlı refractive bends

## Demiothecia.

a. Colony up to 200 or 250 mm . in height, cœencium amber-coloured or pale.
9, 10, 11. Colony much branched. Zooids 2.0 to 3.2 mm., crimson, brown, violet, or pale; arms is or (i pairs. Species not easily distincuished, but lovfysoni is somewhat more robust, and with larqer zooids, than dodecalophus
doulccalophus, horly-
[somi, (inaqu"tus=
[horlysomi), esymutus.
b. Colony diminutive and delicnte, canocium orange-coloured.
12. Kooids 1.3 mu., orangu-colonred, with at few tracts of back pigment; arms ${ }^{5}$ pairs, with end-swelliners in huds. No males known
!raciles.
13. Zonids blackish; neuter zooids 7.3 mm ., arms 4 pairs, no end-swellines; male zooids with one pair of arms only, willout tentacles, mumerous refractive beads. No females known
siboya.
LV.-Observations on the Cienus Crassicauda. By II. A. Baylis, M.A.
(Published by permiswion of the Trustees of the British Musemm.)
'J'wo sets of specimens from Deception Island, South Shetands, kindly sent, to the Musemm recently by Mr. A. G. Bennett, thow intersting further light on this linte-known semms of Nematudes. The host, in both these cases, was the

